arXiv:1412.3376v4 [math.RT] 30 Jul 2016

$U_n(q)$ acting on flags and supercharacters

Richard Dipper [a,*], Qiong Guo [b,*]

[a] Institut für Algebra und Zahlentheorie
Universität Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany
E-mail: richard.dipper@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de
[b] College of Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Technology
201418 Shanghai, P. R. China
E-mail:qiongguo@sit.edu.cn

Dedicated to the memory of J.A.Green

Abstract

Let $U = U_n(q)$ be the group of lower unitriangular $n \times n$-matrices with entries in the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements for some prime power q and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We investigate the restriction to U of the permutation action of $GL_n(q)$ on flags in the natural $GL_n(q)$-module $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. Applying our results to the special case of flags of length two we obtain a complete decomposition of the permutation representation of $GL_n(q)$ on the cosets of maximal parabolic subgroups into irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-modules.

1 Introduction

The irreducible complex characters of the finite general linear group $GL_n(q)$ have been determined by J.A. Green in his landmark paper [8]. They subdivide naturally into families, called Harish-Chandra series, labelled by conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of $GL_n(q)$. In this paper we are mainly concerned with the series attached to the identity element of $GL_n(q)$. Those are afforded precisely by the irreducible constituents of the permutation representation of $GL_n(q)$ acting on the cosets of a Borel subgroup B, which are called unipotent Specht modules. Many aspects of the representation theory of $GL_n(q)$ may be described analogously to the representation theory of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ – indeed one expects the theory of $GL_n(q)$ to translate into that of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ by setting q equal to 1.
It is a classical result that the Specht module S^λ for $\mathfrak{S}_n$, where λ is a partition of n, is integrally defined. It comes with a certain distinguished integral basis, called standard basis, which is labelled by standard λ-tableaux. This suggests that a q-version of this should hold for unipotent Specht modules $S(\lambda)$ for $GL_n(q)$. More precisely, to each standard λ-tableau $\mathfrak{s}$, there should be attached a polynomial $g_\mathfrak{s}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $g_\mathfrak{s}(1) = 1$ and $g_\mathfrak{s}(q)$ many elements of $S(\lambda)$ such that all these elements form a basis of $S(\lambda)$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ runs through all the standard λ-tableaux. In

*Corresponding author.
This work was partially supported by the DFG priority programme SPP 1388 in representation theory, no. 99028426
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20C15, 20D15. Secondary 20C33, 20D20
Key words and phrases. Flags, Monomial linearisation, Supercharacter

[3, 4, 7, 9] such standard bases for $S(\lambda)$ were constructed in the special case of 2-part partitions λ.
One way to define unipotent Specht modules for $GL_n(q)$ in a characteristic free way is provided by James' kernel intersection theorem [11, Theorem 15.19]: For a partition λ of n, the unipotent Specht module $S(\lambda)$ for $GL_n(q)$ over any field K of characteristic not dividing q is defined as intersection of the kernels of all homomorphisms $\phi : M(\lambda) \to M(\mu)$ for all partition μ of n dominating λ. Here $M(\lambda)$ denotes the permutation representation of $GL_n(q)$ acting by right translation on the set of all λ-flags in the natural $GL_n(q)$-module $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the field with q elements. In a recent paper, Andrews [14] gave an alternate construction of the unipotent Specht modules based on generalized Gelfand-Graev characters. If $K = \mathbb{C}$ then $S(\lambda)$ are irreducible unipotent $GL_n(q)$-modules appearing as irreducible constituents of the permutation module of $GL_n(q)$ acting on flags in V.
An important ingredient in [9] consists of an analysis of the restriction of $M(\lambda)$ to the unitriangular group $U = U_n(q)$ of $GL_n(q)$. The group algebra KU is semisimple for all fields K of characteristic not dividing q. In [9] decomposing the restriction of $M(\lambda)$ to U completely into irreducible U-modules for 2-part partitions λ, and then applying James' kernel intersection theorem, the main results of [4] were reproved, giving the standard basis of $S(\lambda)$ a representation theoretic interpretation. This new approach in [9] bears resemblance to Kirillov's orbit method [13] and the supercharacter theory of U introduced by André [1] and Yan [15]. Thus making this remarkable connection precise and generalize it to arbitrary compositions λ of n is hoped to be a first step towards constructing standard bases of unipotent Specht modules. This is the main goal of this paper.
The permutation modules $M(\lambda)$ decompose as U-modules into direct sums of $\mathfrak{s}$-components $M_\mathfrak{s}$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ runs through the set $\mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ of row standard λ-tableaux. If, for $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$, $d = d(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ denotes the permutation taking the initial λ-tableau to $\mathfrak{s}$, the intersection $U^d \cap U$ is a semidirect product $U_K = U_J \rtimes U_L$ of pattern subgroups. In section 2 and 3 we monomialize the trivial representation of U_L induced to U_K in a nontrivial way applying and generalizing a result of Jedlitschky in [12]. In section 5 we describe the U_K-action on the monomial basis of $M_\mathfrak{s}$ combinatorially in terms of λ-flags in V. For the special case of $\lambda = (1^n)$ and the initial λ-tableau we recover the Andrè-Yan supercharacters of U as characters afforded by the orbit modules (section 6).
In the remaining sections 7 and 8 we return to 2-part partitions improving on some of the results in [9]. Indeed we show that in this case the U-modules $M_\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$, are multiplicity free and we determine their irreducible U-constituents in terms of supercharacters. Moreover we calculate combinatorially the multiplicities of irreducible U-constituents of $M(\lambda)$ and prove that these are independent of q.

2 Monomial linearisation

In this section we shall present a procedure which allows to transform under certain circumstances a transitive G-set, G a finite group, into a monomial G-set which may decompose into many orbits. Thus this yields a decomposition of the corresponding permutation module into a direct sum of monomial $\mathbb{C}G$-modules. This procedure is based on work of Jedlitschky [12] and Kirillov's orbit method [13]. For the moment, let G be an arbitrary finite group and let K be a field. Identifying the group algebra KG with the K-algebra K^G of functions from G to K by

$$\tau \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \tau(g) g \quad \text{for } \tau \in K^G, \tag{2.1}$$

the following lemma is seen immediately:

2.2 Lemma. Let G be a finite group, $H \leqslant G$ and let $e = \sum_{h\in H} h$ and $M = eK^G$. Then M consists of functions from G to K, which are constant on the right cosets of H in G.

Now let G be a finite group acting on a finite abelian group $(V,+)$ by automorphisms, the action of $g \in G$ denoted by $v \mapsto vg\,(v \in V)$.

2.3 Definition. A map $f : G \to V$ is called a (right) **1-cocycle** if $f(xg) = f(x)g + f(g)$ for all $x, g \in G$ holds. Similarly we call f a left 1-cocycle if f satisfies $f(xg) = xf(g) + f(x)$ for all $x, g \in G$.

Observe the group algebra $\mathbb{C}V \cong \mathbb{C}^V$ of V becomes a right $\mathbb{C}G$-module setting

$$(\tau.g)(v) = \tau(vg^{-1}) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{C}^V, g \in G, v \in V$$

where the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^V$ is denoted by $(\tau, g) \mapsto \tau.g$.
We denote $\hat{V}$ to be the set of linear characters of V, i.e. that is of group homomorphisms of $(V,+)$ into to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$. Note that $\hat{V}$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^V$. Indeed under the identification of $\mathbb{C}^V$ and $\mathbb{C}V$, $\chi \in \hat{V}$ is mapped to $|V|e_{\bar{\chi}}$ where $\bar{\chi}$ is the complex conjugate character of V and $e_{\bar{\chi}}$ is the primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{C}V$ affording $\bar{\chi}$. Since $\{e_\chi \,|\, \chi \in \hat{V}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}V$, we conclude that $\hat{V}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathbb{C}^V$. Moreover one checks immediately:

2.4 Lemma. The action $(\tau, g) \mapsto \tau.g$ of G on $\mathbb{C}^V$ permutes $\hat{V}$. So, for $\chi \in \hat{V}, g \in G$ we have $\chi.g \in \hat{V}$. □

Now let $f : G \to V$ be a 1-cocycle. Then Jedlitschky showed in [12]:

2.5 Theorem. The group algebra $\mathbb{C}^V$ becomes a monomial $\mathbb{C}G$-module with monomial basis $\hat{V}$ by setting

$$\chi g = \chi(f(g^{-1}))\chi.g$$

for $\chi \in \hat{V}, g \in G$. □

Note that for 1-cocycle $f : G \to V$ (left or right) we always have $f(1_G) = 0 \in V$.

2.6 Lemma. Let $f : G \to V$ be a 1-cocycle (or left 1-cocycle). Then $\ker f = \{g \in G \,|\, f(g) = 0 \in V\}$ is a subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \ker f$, then $f(ab) = f(a)b + f(b) = 0 \cdot b + 0 = 0$ proving $ab \in \ker f$. Moreover

$$0 = f(1) = f(aa^{-1}) = f(a)a^{-1} + f(a^{-1}) = f(a^{-1})$$

showing $a^{-1} \in \ker f$. The case of a left 1-cocycle f is shown similarly. □

Let $f : G \to V$ be a 1-cocycle. We define

$$f^* : \mathbb{C}^V \to \mathbb{C}^G : \tau \mapsto \tau \circ f \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{C}^V.$$

2.7 Lemma. [12] Consider $\mathbb{C}^V$ as a monomial $\mathbb{C}G$-module as defined in 2.5. Then $f^* : \mathbb{C}^V \to \mathbb{C}^G \cong \mathbb{C}G$ is a $\mathbb{C}G$-homomorphism. In particular the image of f^* is isomorphic to a right ideal $\mathbb{C}G$.

Note that by [12] $f^* : \mathbb{C}^V \to \mathbb{C}^G$ is injective (surjective) if and only if $f : G \to V$ is surjective (injective). In particular, if $f : G \to V$ is surjective, the image f^* provides an isomorphism from the $\mathbb{C}G$-module $\mathbb{C}V$ to an right ideal of $\mathbb{C}G$. Indeed we have the following generalisation of this:

2.8 Theorem. Let G and V be finite groups, V abelian, and let $f : G \to V$ be a surjective 1-cocycle. Let $H \leqslant G$ be the kernel of f. Then $\mathbb{C}V \cong \mathbb{C}^V \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{C}$ as $\mathbb{C}G$-module, where $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_H$ is the trivial H-module.

Proof. By 2.2 it suffices to show that $f^*(\mathbb{C}^V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^G$ consists precisely of all functions from G to $\mathbb{C}$ which are constant on the cosets of H in G. Let $\tau : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function, $h \in H$ and $g \in G$. Then $f(h) = 0$ and

$$f^*(\tau)(hg) = \tau(f(hg)) = \tau(f(h)g + f(g)) = \tau(f(g)) = f^*(\tau)(g).$$

This shows that $f^*(\tau)$ is constant on the cosets of H in G and hence $\mathrm{im}\, f^* = f^*(\mathbb{C}^V) \leqslant \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{C}_H$. For $v \in V$ define $\tau_v : V \to \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \delta_{vu}$, (so $\{\tau_v \,|\, v \in V\}$ is a group basis of $\mathbb{C}^V$). Note that for $g \in G, h \in H, v \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f^*(\tau_v))(hg) &= f^*(\tau_v)(g) = \tau_v(f(g)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } f(g) = v \\ 0 & \text{for } f(g) \neq v \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

So $f^*(\tau_v)$ takes the coset Hg to 1 for $f(g) = v$. Let $a, b \in G$ and suppose that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then $f(ab^{-1}) = f(a)b^{-1} + f(b^{-1}) = f(b)b^{-1} + f(b^{-1}) = f(bb^{-1}) = f(1) = 0$ showing that a and b are contained in the same coset of H in G. We conclude that $f^*(\tau_v) = 0$ on all cosets of H in G different from Hg with $f(g) = v$. This shows that $f^* : \mathbb{C}^V \to \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{C}_H$ is surjective, by choosing for each $v \in V$ an element $g \in G$ such that $f(g) = v$ using surjectivity of f. □

3 Pattern subgroups

Throughout q is a power of some prime p and $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the field with q elements. The n-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the natural module for the general linear group $GL_n(q)$ (acting from right). The **root system** Φ of $GL_n(q)$ consists of all positions $\{(i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, i \neq j\}$ of $n \times n$-matrices, and $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ with $\Phi^+ = \{(i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n\}$, $\Phi^- = \{(i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n\}$, (positive and negative roots). The $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra of $n \times n$-matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is denoted by $M_n(q)$. For $A \in M_n(q)$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$, let $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be the entry at position (i,j) in A. Thus $A = \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n} A_{ij} e_{ij}$, where e_{ij} is the (i,j)-th matrix unit. In particular $E = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} e_{ii}$ is the identity of $GL_n(q)$.
For $(i,j) \in \Phi, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, let $x_{ij}(\alpha) = E + \alpha e_{ij}$. Then the **root subgroup** $X_{ij} = \{x_{ij}(\alpha) \,|\, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ is isomorphic to the additive group $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$.
Recall that for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $(i,j) \in \Phi$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $x_{ij}(\alpha)A$ (respectively $Ax_{ij}(\alpha)$) is obtained from A by multiplying row j (column i) of A by α and adding it to row i (column) j.
A subset $J \subseteq \Phi$ is **closed**, if $(i,j), (j,k) \in J$ and $(i,k) \in \Phi$ implies $(i,k) \in J$. Note that if $J \subseteq \Phi^+(\Phi^-)$, the condition $(i,k) \in \Phi$ is automatically satisfied and can be omitted.
For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the **support** $\mathrm{supp}(A)$ of A is the set of positions $(i,j), 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ such that $A_{ij} \neq 0$. Thus $A = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathrm{supp}(A)} A_{ij} e_{ij}$. If $J \subseteq \Phi^-(\Phi^+)$ is closed, then $U_J = \{E + A \,|\, A \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \mathrm{supp}(A) \subseteq J\}$ is a subgroup, called **pattern subgroup**, of the p-Sylow subgroup $U^- = U = U_n(q)$ (U^+) of $GL_n(q)$ of lower (upper) unitriangular matrices. Moreover U_J is generated by the root subgroups X_{ij}, $(i,j) \in J$. Indeed it is well known and follows easily from Chevalley's commutator formula (see e.g. [2]) that fixing an arbitrary linear ordering on J and taking every product in this ordering, every element of U_J can be uniquely written as product:

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in J} x_{ij}(\alpha_{ij}) \text{ with } \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q. \tag{3.1}$$

Obviously $U = U^- = U_{\Phi^-}$ and $U^+ = U_{\Phi^+}$. Inspecting Chevalley's commutator formula one obtains immediately:

3.2 Lemma. Let $J \subseteq I \subseteq \Phi^-$ (Φ^+) be closed. Then U_J is a normal subgroup of U_I if and only if the following holds: If $(i,j),(j,k) \in I$ and (i,j) or (j,k) is in J, then $(i,k) \in J$. □

3.3 Lemma. Let $L, J \subseteq \Phi^-$ be closed, $L \cap J = \emptyset$. Suppose that for $(i,j),(j,k) \in \Phi^-$ such that one of these roots is contained in L the other in J we have always $(i,k) \in J$. Then $K = L \cup J \subseteq \Phi^-$ is closed, $U_L, U_J \leqslant U_K, U_J \trianglelefteq U_K$ and U_K is the semi-direct product of U_J by U_L. Let $M = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K} \mathbb{C}$ and e be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space generator of the trivial U_L-module $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\{eu \mid u \in U_J\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of M on which U_J acts by multiplication and U_L by conjugation, that is, $eul = eu^l$ for $u \in U_J, l \in U_L$.

Proof. One checks immediately that K is closed in Φ^- and that $U_K = U_J \rtimes U_L$. Obviously $(eu_1)u_2 = eu_1u_2$ for $u_1, u_2 \in U_J$ and $eul = ell^{-1}ul = eu^l$ for $l \in U_L$ and $u \in U_J$. □

For any subset $J \subseteq \Phi^-$ we set

$$V_J = \{A \in M_n(q) \mid \operatorname{supp} A \subseteq J\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that if J is closed then $V_J = \{u - E \mid u \in U_J\}$ is the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(U_J)$ associated with the pattern subgroup U_J and is a (nilpotent) $\mathbb{F}_q$-subalgebra of $M_n(q)$. Moreover, the multiplication rule $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$ for matrix units implies with 3.2 immediately:

3.5 Lemma. Let $J \subseteq K \subseteq \Phi^-$ be closed. Then $U_J \trianglelefteq U_K$ if and only if V_J is an ideal of V_K. □

Note that in the situation of 3.5 with $U_J \trianglelefteq U_K$, the natural projection map $\tilde{\rho} : V_K \to V_{K\setminus J} = \{A \in M_n(q) \mid \operatorname{supp} A \subseteq K \setminus J\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra homomorphism with kernel V_J.
We now apply the results of section 2 to the following situation:

3.6 Hypothesis. Let $J, L \subseteq \Phi^-$ be closed, $J \cap L = \emptyset, K = J \cup L$ and $U_J \trianglelefteq U_K$.

Under this hypothesis we have: $K \subseteq \Phi^-$ is closed and $U_K = U_J \rtimes U_L, V_J \trianglelefteq V_K$ and the natural projection

$$\tilde{\rho} : V_K \to V_L$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra homomorphism with kernel V_J and $\tilde{\rho}|_{V_L} = \mathrm{id}_{V_L}$. We define $\rho : U_K \to U_L : u \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(u - E) + E$ for $u \in U_K$. Obviously ρ is a group epimorphism with kernel U_J. Note that $\rho|_{U_L} = \mathrm{id}_{U_L}$, so ρ is the natural projection of $U_K = U_J \rtimes U_L$ onto U_L.

3.7 Lemma. Suppose 3.6. Then U_K acts on V_J as group of $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space automorphisms, where the action $\circ : V_J \times U_K \to V_J$ of U_K on V_J being defined by $A \circ g = \rho(g^{-1})Ag$ for $A \in V_J, g \in U_K$.

Proof. Let $g \in U_K, A \in V_J$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g^{-1})Ag &= (\tilde{\rho}(g^{-1} - E) + E)A((g - E) + E) \\ &= A + A(g - E) + \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1} - E)A + \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1} - E)A(g - E) \end{aligned}$$

is contained in V_J, since $g - E, \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1} - E) \in V_K$ and V_J is an ideal of V_K. Since ρ is a group homomorphism the claim follows at once. □

3.8 Lemma. Suppose 3.6. Then the map

$$f : U_K \to V_J : g \mapsto \rho(g^{-1})g - E$$

is an 1-cocycle with kernel U_L. Moreover $f|_{U_J}$ is bijective and hence f is in particular surjective.

Proof. Let $g \in U_K$. Since $\rho(g^{-1})$ and g are contained in U_K, we have $f(g) = \rho(g^{-1})g - E \in V_K$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(f(g)) + E &= \rho(f(g) + E) = \rho(\rho(g^{-1})g) = \rho(\rho(g^{-1}))\rho(g) \\ &= \rho(g^{-1})\rho(g) = E,\end{aligned}$$

since $\rho(g^{-1}) \in U_L$ and $\rho|_{U_L} = \mathrm{id}_{U_L}$. Thus $\tilde{\rho}(f(g)) = 0$ and hence $f(g) \in \ker\tilde{\rho} = V_J$.
Let $x, g \in U_K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) \circ g + f(g) &= \rho(g^{-1})(\rho(x^{-1})x - E)g + \rho(g^{-1})g - E \\ &= \rho(g^{-1}x^{-1})xg - \rho(g^{-1})g + \rho(g^{-1})g - E \\ &= \rho(g^{-1}x^{-1})xg - E = f(xg),\end{aligned}$$

thus f is a 1-cocycle.
Suppose $0 = f(g) = \rho(g^{-1})g - E$, then $\rho(g^{-1})g = E$ and hence $\rho(g) = g$, since $\rho : U_K \to U_L$ is a group homomorphism. But $\rho(g) = \tilde{\rho}(g - E) + E$ and hence $0 = f(g)$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\rho}(g - E) = g - E$, and hence $g - E \in V_L$ that is $g \in U_L$. So $\ker f = U_L$.
Finally for $g \in U_J$, $\rho(g) = E$ and hence $f(g) = g - E$. In particular $f|_{U_J} : U_J \to V_J : g \mapsto g - E$ is a bijection and thus f is in particular surjective. □

Using 2.5 and 2.8 we have shown :

3.9 Theorem. Assume 3.6 and let $V = V_J$. Let $f : U_K \to V_J$ be the 1-cocycle defined in 3.8. Then the group algebra $\mathbb{C}V \cong \mathbb{C}^V$ of the finite abelian group $(V, +)$ is a right $\mathbb{C}U_K$-module satisfying the following:

1) U_K acts on the $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\hat{V} = \mathrm{Hom}((V, +), \mathbb{C}^*)$ monomially, the action of $g \in U_K$ on $\chi \in \hat{V}$ given by
$$\chi g = \chi(f(g^{-1}))\chi.g,$$
where $\chi.g \in \hat{V}$ is defined by $\chi.g(A) = \chi(A \circ g^{-1})$ for $A \in V$.
2) The $\mathbb{C}U_K$-module $\mathbb{C}V \cong \mathbb{C}^V$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K}\mathbb{C}$, the trivial $\mathbb{C}U_L$-module $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{U_L}$ induced to U_K.
3) The restriction $\mathrm{Res}_{U_J}^{U_K}(\mathbb{C}^V)$ is isomorphic to the right regular $\mathbb{C}U_J$-module.
4) For $g \in U_L$ we have $f(g^{-1}) = 0$, hence $\chi(f(g^{-1})) = 1$. So U_L acts on $\hat{V}$ by permutations.

□

Recall from basic linear algebra, that multiplying $A \in M_n(q)$ from the left (right) by $x_{ij}(\alpha) = E + \alpha e_{ij}$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, i \neq j$) produces a matrix obtained from A by adding α times row j (column i) of A to row i (column j). For any set $J \subseteq \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\}$ of positions and $V = V_J = \{A \in M_n(q) \mid \mathrm{supp}(A) \subseteq J\}$ we denote the projection which takes $A \in M_n(q)$ to $\sum_{(i,j)\in J} A_{ij}e_{ij} \in V_J$ by π_J. Thus π_J is the natural $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space projection of $M_n(q)$ onto V_J. Adding α times ($\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$) row (column) i to row (column) j in a matrix $A \in M_n(q)$ ($1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, i \neq j$) and following up with π_J, (so obtaining a matrix in V_J) is called **truncated row (column) operation**, from row (column) i to row (column) j along V_J.
We return to the setting of 3.6. We want to exhibit explicit formulas for the action of U_K on $\hat{V}$, where again we set $V = V_J = \{A \in M_n(q) \mid \mathrm{supp}(A) \subseteq J\}$.
First we describe $\hat{V}$. Recall that V is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space with $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis $\{e_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in J\}$. Let $\{\epsilon_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in J\}$ be the dual $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis of the dual space $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus ϵ_{ij} maps $A \in V = V_J$ to its (i, j)-th coordinate $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $(i, j) \in J$, and every linear function $\chi^* \in V^*$ on V is a unique linear combination $\sum_{(i,j)\in J} B_{ij}\epsilon_{ij} = \chi^*$ with $B_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $B = \sum_{(i,j)\in J} B_{ij}e_{ij} \in M_n(q)$ and denote χ^* by χ_B^*, so $\{\chi_B^* \mid B \in V\} = V^*$.

We choose once for all a nontrivial linear character

$$\theta : (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \to \mathbb{C}^* \tag{3.10}$$

of the additive group of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ into the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The following result is easily checked by direct calculation:

3.11 Lemma. For $\chi^* = \chi_B^* \in V^*, B \in V$, let $\chi_B : V \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the composite map $\theta \circ \chi_B^*$. Then $\chi_B \in \hat{V}$ and $\chi_B \neq \chi_C$ for $B, C \in V, B \neq C$. In particular, $\hat{V} = \{\chi_B \mid B \in V\}$. □

For $B \in V, g \in U_K$, 2.4 implies that $\chi_B.g = \chi_C$ for $C \in V$ satisfying $(\chi_B^*.g)(e_{st}) = C_{st}$, where $(s,t) \in J$. So in order to determine C, we have to evaluate $\chi_B^*.g$ at matrix unites e_{st} with $(s,t) \in J$. For convenience we denote C by $B.g$. Then $\chi_B^*.g = \chi_{B.g}^*$.

3.12 Lemma. Suppose 3.6 and let $B \in V = V_J, g \in U_K$. Then $B.g = \pi_J((\rho(g))^t B g^{-t})$, where on the right hand side we have ordinary matrix multiplication and A^t denotes the transpose of $A \in M_n(q)$ and $g^{-t} = (g^{-1})^t = (g^t)^{-1}$.

Proof. Since U_K is generated by root subgroups X_{kl} with $(k,l) \in K = J \cup L$, we may assume $g = x_{kl}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $(i,j), (s,t) \in J$, then

$$\beta_{ij} := (\epsilon_{ij}.x_{kl}(\alpha))(e_{st}) = \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} \circ x_{kl}(-\alpha)) = \epsilon_{ij}(\rho(x_{kl}(\alpha)) e_{st} x_{kl}(-\alpha)) \tag{3.13}$$

1. Case: Suppose $(k,l) \in L$. Then by 3.6, definition of ρ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij} &= \epsilon_{ij}(x_{kl}(\alpha) e_{st} x_{kl}(-\alpha)) = \epsilon_{ij}((E + \alpha e_{kl}) e_{st} (E - \alpha e_{kl})) \\ &= \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} - \alpha e_{st} e_{kl} + \alpha e_{kl} e_{st} - \alpha^2 e_{kl} e_{st} e_{kl}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(k,l), (s,t) \in \Phi^-$, we have $l < k, t < s$ and hence $t = k$ implies $l < s$. Thus $e_{kl} e_{st} e_{kl} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij} &= \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} - \alpha e_{st} e_{kl} + \alpha e_{kl} e_{st}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} - \alpha e_{sl}) = \delta_{is}\delta_{jt} - \alpha \delta_{is}\delta_{jl} & \text{if } t = k \\ \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} + \alpha e_{kt}) = \delta_{is}\delta_{jt} + \alpha \delta_{ik}\delta_{jt} & \text{if } s = l \\ \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st}) = \delta_{is}\delta_{jt} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now $\chi_B^* = \sum_{(i,j) \in J} B_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}$ and hence by (3.13):

$$\begin{aligned} C_{st} &= \chi_C^*(e_{st}) = (\chi_B^*.x_{kl}(\alpha))(e_{st}) = \sum_{(i,j) \in J} B_{ij}(\epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} \circ x_{kl}(-\alpha))) \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in J} B_{ij} \beta_{ij} \overset{(3.14)}{=} \begin{cases} B_{st} - \alpha B_{sl} & \text{for } t = k \\ B_{st} + \alpha B_{kt} & \text{for } s = l \\ B_{st} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain matrix $C = B.x_{kl}(\alpha)$ from B by adding $-\alpha$ times column l to column k, adding α times row k to row l in B and projecting the resulting matrix into $V = V_J$. By basic linear algebra this is indeed $\pi_J(x_{lk}(\alpha) B x_{lk}(-\alpha))$ as desired.

2. Case: Now suppose $(k,l) \in J$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij} &= (\epsilon_{ij}.x_{kl}(\alpha))(e_{st}) = \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st}(E - \alpha e_{kl})) \\ &= \begin{cases} \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st} - \alpha e_{sl}) = \delta_{is}\delta_{jt} - \alpha \delta_{is}\delta_{jl} & \text{if } t = k \\ \epsilon_{ij}(e_{st}) = \delta_{is}\delta_{jt} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that $C = B.x_{kl}(\alpha)$ is obtained from B by adding $-\alpha$ times column l to column k and projecting the resulting matrix into V by π_J. But this is again $\pi_J(B x_{lk}(-\alpha)) = \pi_J((\rho(x_{kl}(\alpha)))^t B x_{kl}(-\alpha)^t)$, since $\rho(x_{kl}(\alpha)) = E$ for $(k,l) \in J$. □

3.15 Definition. Let $1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n$, then $-\epsilon_{ij}(A) = -A_{ij}$ for $A \in V_J$, and hence $\theta\circ(-\epsilon_{ij})(A) = \overline{\theta(A_{ij})}$, where $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. From this it follows immediately, that $\chi_{-A} = \overline{\chi_A}$, the complex conjugate character to χ_A for $A \in V_J$. So for $A \in V_J$, we define $e_A = q^{-|J|}\sum_{B\in V_J}\overline{\chi_A(B)}B$. Thus $q^{-|J|}\chi_{-A} \in \mathbb{C}^V$ is identified under (2.1) with the primitive idempotent $e_A \in \mathbb{C}(V_J,+)$ affording the linear character $\overline{\chi_A} = \chi_{-A}$. In order to distinguish the idempotents $e_A \in \mathbb{C}(V,+)$ from idempotents in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}U_J$, we call e_A, $A \in V_J$ "lidempotent" indicating that it belongs to the Lie algebra of U_J rather than U_J. Thus $\mathcal{E}_J = \{e_A \mid A \in V_J\}$ is called **lidempotent basis** of $\mathbb{C}(V_J,+)$.

Combining 3.9 and 3.12 we have shown:

3.16 Theorem. Suppose 3.6 and let $f : U_K \to V = V_J$ be the 1-cocycle defined in 3.8. Let $A \in V = V_J$ and $g = x_{ij}(\alpha) \in U_K, (k,l) \in K = J \cup L, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\chi_A.x_{kl}(\alpha) = \chi_B$, where $B \in V$ is obtained from A by the truncated column operation along V from column l to column k using the factor $-\alpha$, if $(k,l) \in J$. If $(k,l) \in L$, then B is obtained from A by combined truncated row and column operation along V from column l to column k, row k to row l with factors $-\alpha$ and α respectively. Moreover

$$\chi_A g = \begin{cases} \theta(-\alpha A_{ij})\chi_B & \text{for } (i,j) \in J \\ \chi_B & \text{for } (i,j) \in L. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

As a consequence, we obtain a monomial action of U_K on the lidempotent basis $\mathcal{E}_J$ of $\mathbb{C}(V_J,+)$ (defined in 3.15) as follows:

$$e_A g = \begin{cases} \theta(\alpha A_{ij})e_B & \text{for } (i,j) \in J \\ e_B & \text{for } (i,j) \in L. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Everything is already shown except (3.17) and (3.18). By 3.9

$$\chi_A g = \chi_A(f(g^{-1}))\chi_B = \theta(\chi_A^*(f(g^{-1})))\chi_B.$$

For $(i,j) \in L, f(g^{-1}) = 0$ and the claim follows (comp. 3.9 4)). So let $(i,j) \in J$. Then using 3.8 we obtain $\chi_A^*(f(g^{-1})) = \chi_A^*(g^{-1} - E) = \chi_A^*(-\alpha e_{ij}) = -\alpha A_{ij}$ and (3.17) follows. Then by the identification given in 3.15, (3.18) follows . □

3.19 Remark. The special case $L = \emptyset, J = K$ in 3.6 yields a supercharacter theory of the pattern subgroup U_J of U. In this case the action of U_J on $\hat{V}_J$ can entirely be described by (ordered) sequences of truncated column operations.

3.20 Example. We illustrate the lidempotent $e_A \in \mathbb{C}V_J$ by a triangle, omitting superfluous zeros in the upper half of matrix $A \in V$ and indicating positions not belonging to J by "‡" . Let $n = 6$ and $V = V_J$ where J is obtained by taking out column 2 and column 4 from Φ^-. Let $A = \sum_{(i,j)\in J} A_{ij}e_{ij} \in V$, $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then by (3.18) we have

$e_A x_{53}(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha A_{53})$

0				
A_{21}	0			
A_{31}	‡	0		
A_{41}	‡	A_{43}	0	
A_{51}	‡	A_{53}	‡	0
A_{61}	‡	A_{63}	‡	β	0

where $\beta = A_{65} - \alpha A_{63}$.

3.21 Notation. In the situation of 3.6 we denote the U_K-orbit of $\mathcal{E}_J$ containing $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J, A \in V_J$ by $\mathcal{O}_A^J$. In the special case $L = \emptyset, J = K = \Phi^-$, we drop the sub- and super index J, that is $V = V_{\Phi^-}, \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\Phi^-}$ and $\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_A^{\Phi^-}$ for $A \in V$.

4 λ-flags

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the symmetric group on n letters by $\mathfrak{S}_n$. A **composition** λ of n, denoted by $\lambda \vDash n$ is a finite sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of non negative integers $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, whose sum is n. The **(Young) diagram** of a composition λ is the subset

$$[\lambda] = \{(i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_i \text{ and } i \geqslant 1\}$$

of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. If $(i,j) \in [\lambda]$, then (i,j) is called a **node** of λ. We represent the diagram as an array of boxes in the plane. Suppose λ is a composition of n. A $\lambda-$**tableau** is a bijection

$$\mathfrak{t} : [\lambda] \to \{1, 2, \cdots, n\};$$

we say that $\mathfrak{t}$ has shape λ and write $\mathrm{Shape}(\mathfrak{t}) = \lambda$. We represent a tableau $\mathfrak{t}$ by a labeled diagram replacing every node (i,j) in $[\lambda]$ by it's image in $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ under the map $\mathfrak{t}$. For example,

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 6 & 7 & \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ and } \mathfrak{t}_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

are two λ-tableaux, where $\lambda = (2,3,2)$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on the set of λ-tableaux from the right by permuting the integers $1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$. In the Example above,

$$\mathfrak{t}_1(2\,4\,5\,7\,6\,3) = \mathfrak{t}_2.$$

A λ-tableau is **row standard**, if the entries increase along rows from left to right. The set of λ-tableaux (resp. row standard λ-tableuax) is denoted by $\mathrm{Tabl}(\lambda)$, (resp. $\mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$). The **initial λ-tableau** $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ is the λ-tableau, where the numbers $1, \ldots, n$ are inserted in order along the rows down row by row. For $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Tabl}(\lambda)$, we denote the permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda w = \mathfrak{s}$ by $\mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Tabl}(\lambda)$, $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = m$ if the m-th row counted from the top in $\mathfrak{s}$ contains i.
For $\lambda \vDash n$, the **row stabilizer** of $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the **standard Young subgroup** $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \mathfrak{S}_{\{1,\ldots,\lambda_1\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{\lambda_1+1,\ldots,\lambda_1+\lambda_2\}} \times \cdots \cong \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k} \leqslant \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots \lambda_k)$. For any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Tabl}(\lambda)$, the row stabilizer of $\mathfrak{s}$ is then $\mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$. The set

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \{\mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \,|\, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)\} \tag{4.1}$$

is a set of right coset representatives of $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$, called **distinguished coset representatives,** (see e.g. [2]).
We identify $\mathfrak{S}_n$ with the Weyl group of $GL_n(q)$, i.e. with the set of permutation matrices in $GL_n(q)$. Thus $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ acts in the natural basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ by $e_i w = e_{iw}$. Moreover, for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, one checks directly that: $we_{ij} = e_{iw^{-1},\,j}$ and $e_{ij}w = e_{i,\,jw}$. Hence

$$x_{ij}(\alpha)^w = w^{-1}x_{ij}(\alpha)w = w^{-1}(E + \alpha e_{ij})w = E + \alpha w^{-1}e_{ij}w = x_{iw,jw}(\alpha). \tag{4.2}$$

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k) \vDash n$ we define a **λ-flag** in $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ to be a sequence

$$V = V_0 > V_1 > \cdots > V_{k-1} \geqslant V_k = (0)$$

of subspaces V_i of V $(i = 0, \ldots, k)$ such that $\lambda_i = \dim V_{i-1} - \dim V_i$ holds. We denote the set of λ-flags in V by $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$. Obviously $GL_n(q)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ by left- and right multiplication transitively on both sides.
We set $\Lambda_i = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$. For the natural basis $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ of $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$

set $V_i = \langle e_{\Lambda_i+1}, e_{\Lambda_i+2}, \cdots, e_n\rangle$. Then the λ-flag $V = V_0 > V_1 > \cdots > V_{k-1} > V_k = (0)$ is called standard λ-flag and its stabilizer in $GL_n(q)$ acting from the right on $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ is the **standard parabolic subgroup** P_λ. By general theory, the linear permutation module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ spanned by $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ under the right action of $GL_n(q)$ is isomorphic to the trivial $\mathbb{C}P_\lambda$-module induced to $GL_n(q)$, denoted by $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda}^{GL_n(q)}\mathbb{C}$.

4.3 Definition. Let $\lambda \vDash n$. Then the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda &= \{(i,j) \in \Phi \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(i) \leqslant \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(j)\} \\ J_\lambda^{=} &= \{(i,j) \in \Phi \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(i) = \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(j)\} \\ J_\lambda^{<} &= \{(i,j) \in \Phi \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(i) < \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(j)\} \end{aligned}$$

are closed subsets of Φ and $P_\lambda = \langle B^+, X_{ij} \mid (i,j) \in J_\lambda\rangle$ is the standard parabolic subgroup containing the upper Borel subgroup B^+ of invertible upper triangular matrices. Moreover $L_\lambda = \langle T, X_{ij} \mid (i,j) \in J_\lambda^{=}\rangle$ is a Levi subgroup of P_λ (**standard Levi subgroup**) isomorphic to $GL_{\lambda_1}(q) \times \cdots GL_{\lambda_k}(q)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \vDash n$, and $U_\lambda = U_{J_\lambda^<} \leqslant U^+$ is the unipotent radical of P_λ. In particular, $U_\lambda \trianglelefteq P_\lambda$, $U_\lambda \cap L_\lambda = (1)$ and $P_\lambda = U_\lambda L_\lambda = U_\lambda \rtimes L_\lambda$.

For later use we set $U_\lambda^- = U_{J_\lambda^>}$ where $J_\lambda^> = \{(i,j) \in \Phi \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(i) > \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(j)\}$. Then $P_\lambda^- = L_\lambda U_\lambda^-$ is the standard parabolic subgroup containing B^-, the Borel subgroup of all lower triangular invertible matrices.
Let $F : V = V_0 > V_1 > \cdots > V_{k-1} > V_k = (0)$ be a λ-flag. A basis $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ of V is **F-adapted**, if $v_{\Lambda_i+1}, \cdots, v_n$ spans V_i $(i = 0, \ldots, k-1)$. Writing each v_i (uniquely) as linear combination $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} e_j$, we obtain an invertible matrix $B \in M_n(q)$ with $B_{ij} = \beta_{ij}$, whose row vectors are v_i. We illustrate this as follows:

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{compartment } 1 \\ \hdashline \vdots \\ \hdashline \text{compartment } k-1 \\ \hdashline \text{compartment } k \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} \} \text{ basis of } V = V_0 \bmod V_1 (\cong \mathbb{F}_q^{\lambda_1}) \\ \vdots \\ \} \text{ basis of } V_{k-2} \bmod V_{k-1} (\cong \mathbb{F}_q^{\lambda_{k-1}}) \\ \} \text{ basis of } V_{k-1} (\cong \mathbb{F}_q^{\lambda_k}) \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. If row i lies in the r-th compartment of B for some $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, then we denote: $\mathrm{comp}_B(i) = r$. Using basic linear algebra the following is easy to see:

4.5 Lemma. P_λ acts by left multiplication on the set of F-adapted bases $B \in GL_n(q)$ of V transitively, inducing a bijection:

$$\{\text{right } P_\lambda\text{-cosets in } GL_n(q)\} = \{\text{left } P_\lambda\text{-orbits on } GL_n(q)\} \underset{\text{bij.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{\lambda\text{-flags in } V = \mathbb{F}_q^n\}.$$

□

Obviously the sets of the left P_λ-orbits on $GL_n(q)$ and the right cosets $P_\lambda g$ $(g \in GL_n(q))$ are the same. The next result gives a detailed description of this. Recall that $U = U^-$ is the lower unitriangular group. For the next result see Cf. [11, Theorem 7.5].

4.6 Proposition. Let $\lambda \vDash n$. Then $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is a set of P_λ-U double coset representatives in $GL_n(q)$. Moreover for $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $P_\lambda dU = \bigcup_{u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U} P_\lambda du$ is a decomposition of $P_\lambda dU$ into right P_λ-cosets in $GL_n(q)$. Thus $\{du \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U\}$ is a set of right coset representatives of P_λ in $GL_n(q)$.

Since $U_\lambda^- = \langle X_{ij} \mid i,j) \in \Phi, \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(i) > \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(j)\rangle$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, i occupies the position in $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d$ which is occupied by id^{-1} in $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$, we have:

4.7 Lemma. Let $\lambda \vDash n, d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d$. Then $(U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U = U_J$, where $J = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- | \, \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\}$. □

Let λ, d and $\mathfrak{s}$ as above. Next we shall describe the matrices in the subset dU_J of $GL_n(q)$. Let $u \in U_J$. We consider the row vectors of $du \in GL_n(q)$ as an F-adapted basis of some λ-flag F. By 4.7 $u_{ij} \neq 0$ implies

$$(i = j \text{ and } u_{ii} = 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (i > j \text{ and } \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)). \tag{4.8}$$

Note that the left action of $d \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ on u is just permuting the rows of u. Thus $(du)_{kr} = u_{kd,r}$ for all $(k,r) \in \Phi$. So $(du)_{kl} \neq 0$ implies by (4.8)

$$(kd = r \text{ and } (du)_{k,kd} = 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (kd > r \text{ and } \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(kd) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(r)).$$

Therefore one can see immediately that the values on the positions (k, kd) in du are 1's and these are the most right hand side nonzero entries in each row $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Now assume $(du)_{kr} \neq 0$ and $kd > r$. Recall that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, i occupies the position in $\mathfrak{s}$ which is occupied by id^{-1} in $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$. Thus $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(kd) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(r)$ if and only if $\mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(k) > \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(rd^{-1})$ and hence the position (k,r) lies in a lower compartment than the position (rd^{-1}, r), on which sits a one. So we have shown:

4.9 Theorem. For $\lambda \vDash n$ we denote

$$\mathfrak{X}_\lambda = \{du \,|\, d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U\},$$

called **λ-normal** matrices. Then any $A \in \mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ satisfies:

1) There exists $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ such that $A_{i,id} = 1$ is the last nonzero entry in row i of A. We call $A_{i,id} = 1$ **"the last one"**, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Define $\mathrm{tab}\, A = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$.
2) $A_{r,id} \neq 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ implies $r = i$ (and then $A_{r,id} = 1$) or $\mathrm{comp}_{_A}(r) > \mathrm{comp}_{_A}(i)$, that is, the compartment containing row r is lower than the compartment containing row i. □

4.10 Remark. By 4.6, $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ is a set of right coset representatives of P_λ in $GL_n(q)$. By 4.5, (more precisely, using suitable the row operations , each left P_λ-orbit $P_\lambda A \subseteq GL_n(q)$ contains precisely one row reduced form (**normal form**) $A^\lambda \in P_\lambda A \cap \mathfrak{X}_\lambda$. So from now on, each λ-flag can be denoted by an unique element in the set $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$.

4.11 Definition. Let $\lambda \vDash n$. Define a right action of $GL_n(q)$ on $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ by

$$\bullet : (\mathfrak{X}_\lambda, GL_n(q)) \to \mathfrak{X}_\lambda : (A, g) \mapsto A \bullet g = (Ag)^\lambda \quad \text{for } A \in \mathfrak{X}_\lambda,\ g \in GL_n(q).$$

4.12 Lemma. Let $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$. Then under the "$\bullet$"-action, $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ becomes a permutation module isomorphic to $M(\lambda) := \mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda}^{GL_n(q)} \mathbb{C}$, where the isomorphism is given by $A \in \mathfrak{X}_\lambda \mapsto \overline{P_\lambda} A$, with $\overline{P_\lambda} = \sum_{h \in P_\lambda} h$. □

5 The $\mathfrak{s}$-components of $\mathrm{Res}_U^{GL_n(q)} M(\lambda)$

Let $\lambda \vDash n$ and $M(\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda}^{GL_n(q)} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}(\overline{P_\lambda}\mathfrak{X}_\lambda)$ be as defined in 4.12. By 4.6, $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is a set of P_λ-U double coset representatives. We apply Mackey decomposition to obtain a first decomposition:

5.1 Proposition. Let $\lambda \vDash n$. Then

1) $\mathrm{Res}_U^{GL_n(q)} M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda} \mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda^d \cap U}^U \mathbb{C}\overline{P_\lambda} d$.
2) Let $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$. Then the U-permutation module $M_\mathfrak{s} = \mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda^d \cap U}^U \mathbb{C}\overline{P_\lambda} d$ has a $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\{\overline{P_\lambda} du \,|\, u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U\}$. □

With $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$, we call $M_\mathfrak{s}$ introduced in 5.1 **$\mathfrak{s}$-component** of $M(\lambda)$ (in [9] called $\mathfrak{s}$-batch). Under the identification given in 4.12, its basis is given by $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s} := \{du \,|\, u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U\}$, where $d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. For such an u, the matrix $du \in M_n(q)$ is obtained from u by reordering the rows $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ of the matrix u to $(r_{1d}, \ldots, r_{nd})$. Note that $1d, \ldots, nd$ are precisely the entries of $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ from left to right in the rows of $\mathfrak{s}$ and going the rows from top down. In the following we encode u and du in one matrix as follows: We relabel in matrix du the rows top down by $(1d, \ldots, nd)$. With these new row labels the entry at position (i, j) of du coincides with $u_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Moreover note that the rows of du then are automatically divided into $\mathfrak{s}$-compartments. So u may be recovered immediately from du by reordering rows of du in its natural order.

5.2 Example. Let $\lambda = (2, 2, 2) \vdash 6$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$. Then under the new labeling we have

$$\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|l} 1 & \\ 3 & \\ 2 & \\ 4 & \\ 5 & \\ 6 & \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ \hline * & 1 & & & & \\ * & 0 & * & 1 & & \\ \hline * & * & * & * & 1 & \\ * & * & * & * & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad * \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}$$

Recall that $U = U^- = \langle X_{ij} \,|\, (i, j) \in \Phi^- \rangle$. Next we want to describe some pattern subgroups in U^-. Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. Recall that P_λ is generated by the torus T and root subgroups X_{ij} with $(i, j) \in J_\lambda$ defined in 4.3. Thus $X_{ij} \subseteq P_\lambda^d$ if and only if $(id^{-1}, jd^{-1}) \in J_\lambda$ that is $\mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(id^{-1}) \leqslant \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(jd^{-1})$ or equivalently, if and only if $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \leqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)$. This proves the first three claims in the the following result observing in addition, that $X_{ij} \subseteq U$ if and only if $j < i$:

5.3 Lemma. Let $\lambda \vDash n, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. Then:

1) $P_\lambda^d \cap U = \langle X_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n, \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \leqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) \rangle$
2) $L_\lambda^d \cap U = \langle X_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n, \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) \rangle = (L_\lambda \cap U)^d$
3) $U_\lambda^d \cap U = \langle X_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n, \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) < \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) \rangle$
4) $U^d \cap U = \langle X_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n, \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \geqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) \rangle$

Proof. 4) Let $X_{ij} \subseteq U^d \cap U, (i, j) \in \Phi$. Since $X_{ij} \subseteq U$, we have $i > j$. Now $X_{ij} \subseteq U^d$ if and only if $X_{ij}^{d^{-1}} = X_{id^{-1}jd^{-1}} \subseteq U$, hence $id^{-1} > jd^{-1}$. So $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(id^{-1}) \geqslant \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(jd^{-1}) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)$. Now suppose $1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n$ and $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \geqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)$. So $X_{ij} \subseteq U$. If $\mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(id^{-1}) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) = \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(jd^{-1})$, we have $id^{-1} > jd^{-1}$ yielding $X_{ij}^{d^{-1}} = X_{id^{-1}jd^{-1}} \subseteq U$ and hence $X_{ij} \subseteq U^d$, as desired. So let $\mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(id^{-1}) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) = \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{t}^\lambda}(jd^{-1})$. Since $j < i$ by assumption and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$, j is to the left of i in $\mathfrak{s}$ and hence jd^{-1} is to the left of id^{-1} in $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ implying $jd^{-1} < id^{-1}$. Thus $X_{ij}^{d^{-1}} = X_{id^{-1}jd^{-1}} \subseteq U$ and hence $X_{ij} \subseteq U^d$ in this case as well. □

5.4 Definition. Let $\lambda \vDash n, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}\,\lambda, d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$. Define the closed subsets of Φ^-:

1) $P = P(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \leqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\}$
2) $L = L(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\} \subseteq P$
3) $I = I(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) < \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\} \subseteq P$
4) $K = K(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) \geqslant \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\} \supseteq L$
5) $J = J(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) > \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j)\} \subseteq K$

The following Proposition follows easily using 4.7, 5.3 and (3.1):

5.5 Proposition. Keeping the notation introduced in 5.3 and 5.4 we have:
1) $P_\lambda^d \cap U = U_P, L_\lambda^d \cap U = U_L, U_\lambda^d \cap U = U_I, U^d \cap U = U_K, (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U = U_J$.
2) $U_I, U_L \leqslant U_P, U_I \trianglelefteq U_P, U_I \cap U_L = (1)$ and $U_P = U_I U_L$ (semidirect product).
3) $U_J, U_L \leqslant U_K, U_J \trianglelefteq U_K, U_J \cap U_L = (1)$ and $U_K = U_J U_L$ (semidirect product).
4) $U_P \cap U_K = U_L$.
5) $U = U_P U_J, U_P \cap U_J = (1)$.
6) U_L is conjugate by d^{-1} to $L_\lambda \cap U$. In particular, U_L is isomorphic to the direct product of the full unitriangular groups $U_{\lambda_i}(q)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \vDash n$.

Next we investigate the action of $U^d \cap U = U_K \leqslant U$ on the $\mathfrak{s}$-component $M_\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathrm{Res}_U^{GL_n(q)} M(\lambda)$, $\lambda \vDash n, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$.

5.6 Proposition. Let $\lambda \vDash n, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$. With the notation of 5.5 the following holds:

$$\mathrm{Res}_{U_K}^U M_\mathfrak{s} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K} \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. This is Mackey decomposition again: First note that $U = U_P U_J \subseteq U_P U_K \subseteq U$ implies $U_P U_K = U$, i.e. there is only one $U_P U_K$ double coset in U (with representative 1), hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}_{U_K}^U M_\mathfrak{s} &= \mathrm{Res}_{U_K}^U \mathrm{Ind}_{U_P}^U \mathbb{C} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ind}_{U_K \cap U_P}^{U_K} \mathrm{Res}_{U_K \cap U_P}^{U_P} \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K} \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

by Mackey decomposition and 5.5 part 4). □

Observe that 5.5 part 3) implies that $J, L \subseteq K$ satisfy the hypothesis 3.6. Thus we may apply the construction of section 3 to $U_K = U_J \rtimes U_L$. In particular, $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_J$, where $\mathcal{E}_J$ is the lidempotent basis of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}V_J$ of the additive group $V_J = \{u - E \mid u \in U_J\}$. The monomial action of U_K on $\mathcal{E}_J$ is described in 3.16.
The set $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}^0 := \{du - d \mid u \in U_J\} \cong V_J$ as $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space, since $d(u - E) = du - d$. For $A \in V_J$, dA is again obtained from A by reordering the rows. Since $M_\mathfrak{s}$ has basis $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}$, the $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\mathcal{E}_J$ of $\mathrm{Res}_{U_K}^U M_\mathfrak{s} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{U_L}^{U_K} \mathbb{C}$ may be expanded by linear combination of $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}$.
Applying left multiplication by d to matrices in V_J, where rows of $dA, A \in V_J$ are relabeled as in 5.2 and extending this action by linearity to $\mathbb{C}(V_J, +)$, we turn the lidempotents $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J, A \in V_J$ into lidempotents $e_{dA} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}^0, +)$, such that the $\mathbb{C}$-span of $\{e_{dA} \mid A \in V_J\}$ is an U_K-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}$.

5.7 Theorem. Let $(i,j) \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, A \in V_J$. Then

$$e_{dA} x_{ij}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \theta(A_{ij}\alpha) e_{dB}, & \text{for } (i,j) \in J \\ e_{dB}, & \text{for } (i,j) \in L \end{cases}$$

where dB is obtained from dA by adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i of dA and setting all entries of the resulting matrix to zero, which do not belong to J, if $(i,j) \in J$. If $(i,j) \in L$, we obtain dB similarly by the combined truncated row and column operation. Moreover if $(i,j) \in L$ and belongs to highest (lowest) compartment in matrices in $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}$, then $x_{ij}(\alpha)$ acts by truncated column (row) operation alone.

Proof. Everything follows directly from 3.16 besides the last claim. Let $(i,j) \in L$ such that (i,j) is a position in the highest $\mathfrak{s}$-compartment of dA, that is, $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(i) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(j) = 1$. By construction for any position $(k,l) \in \Phi^-$ satisfying $\mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(k) = \mathrm{row}_\mathfrak{s}(l) = 1$ we have $(k,l) \notin J$ by

Definition 5.4. In particular all entries in dA in the highest compartment are zero. Thus only the truncated column operation adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i in dA and truncating can change dA for the calculation of $e_{dA}x_{ij}(\alpha)$. Now assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vDash n$ and $(i,j) \in L$ with $\mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{s}}(i) = \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{s}}(j) = k$. Then (i,j) is a position in the lowest $\mathfrak{s}$-compartment of dA. By 4.9 all entries in columns i and j in dA are zero and hence truncated column operation adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i will not change dA. Thus the claim follows. □

5.8 Proposition. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vDash n$ with $\lambda_k \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ and set $J_k = \{(i,j) \in J \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{s}}(i) = k\}$. Then J_k is closed in Φ^-, and U_{J_k} is an abelian normal subgroup of U_J which acts on $e_{dA} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{X}^0_{\mathfrak{s}}, +)$ by a linear character, $d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$.

Proof. Since $J = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \mid \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{s}}(i) > \mathrm{row}_{\mathfrak{s}}(j)\}$ by definition, we obtain by direct calculation that J_k is closed in Φ^- and U_{J_k} is an abelian normal subgroup of U_J. Let $(i,j) \in J_k, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $A \in V_J$. Since row i lies in the k-th compartment of dA, which is a lowest compartment, by 4.9 the i-th column of dA is a zero column. Hence by 5.7, $e_{dA}x_{ij}(\alpha) = \theta(A_{ij}\alpha)e_{dA}$, which is a scalar action as desired. □

5.9 Remark. Henceforth we identify for fixed $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ the spaces V_J and $\mathfrak{X}^0_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and think of matrices $dA \in \mathfrak{X}^0_s$ as elements of $(U^-_\lambda)^d \cap U$ with reordered rows keeping the original labeling of those as in 5.2. Thus for lidempotents $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J$ we think as well A to be a matrix in V_J with reordered rows, sorting the rows of A into consecutive compartments, each of those corresponding to a row in the tableau $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d$.

6 Supercharacters of U

The supercharacter theory of André [1] and Yan [15] is the special case of the construction in the previous section taking $\lambda = (1^n) \vdash n$ and $w = 1 \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. Then $K = J = \Phi^-$, $L = \emptyset$ and the 1-cocycle $f : U \to V = V_{\Phi^-} = \mathrm{Lie}(U)$ is given by $f(u) = u - E \in V$. Note that this is a left 1-cocycle as well yielding a left action of U on $\mathbb{C}(V,+)$. Indeed $\mathbb{C}(V,+)$ is then a $\mathbb{C}U$-bimodule isomorphic to the regular $\mathbb{C}U$-bimodule ${}_{\mathbb{C}U}\mathbb{C}U_{\mathbb{C}U}$.
We write $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\Phi^-}$, the set of lidempotents arising from $\mathbb{C}(V,+)$. To distinguish this special case notationally from other cases we denote now the lidempotent affording $\chi_{-A}, A \in V$, by $[A]$ instead of e_A. For the convenience of the reader, we collect some well-known facts on the monomial $\mathbb{C}U$-bimodule $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} = \{[A] \mid A \in V\}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathbb{C}(V,+)$ consisting of lidempotents. For details and proof we refer to [5, 15].

6.1 Lemma. Let $A \in V$, $1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then

$$[A]x_{ij}(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha A_{ij})[A.\, x_{ij}(\alpha)],$$

where $A.\, x_{ij}(\alpha)$ is obtained from A by adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i (from left to right) and setting nonzero entries in the resulting matrix at position on or to the right of the diagonal to zero. This is called **truncated column operation**, (comp. 3.18). Similarly the left operation of $x_{ij}(\alpha)$ on the lidempotent basis $\{[A] \mid A \in V\}$ of $\mathbb{C}V$ can be described by a truncated row operation from down up, the coefficient in $\mathbb{C}$ being again $\theta(\alpha A_{ij})$. □

6.2 Definition. A subset $\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_k, j_k)\} \subseteq \Phi^-$ is called a **main condition set** if $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ are sets of k many pairwise different indices in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. So $\mathfrak{p}$ picks from each row and each column of $n \times n$-matrices at most one position. We call $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ **verge** if $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$ is a main condition set and call then the elements of $\mathfrak{p}$ main conditions.

It is easy to see that verge lidempotents correspond to the "basic characters" defined by André. Indeed it can be shown that each U-U-biorbit of $\mathcal{E}$ contains exactly one verge $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ and all right orbits contained in the biorbit generated by the verge $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ afford identical characters of U. Those are precisely the supercharacters. Distinct biorbits afford orthogonal characters and hence each irreducible character of U is irreducible constituent of precisely one supercharacter, (comp. [6, 2.13]).
We denote for $[A] \in \mathcal{E}, A \in V$, the right orbit containing $[A]$ by $\mathcal{O}_A$.

6.3 Definition. Let $1 \leqslant j < i \leqslant n$. The **hook arm** h^a_{ij} centred at (i,j) consists of all positions $(i,k) \in \Phi^-$ strictly to the right of (i,j), thus $h^a_{ij} = \{(i,k) \,|\, j < k < i\}$, and the **hook leg** h^l_{ij} is the set of positions $(l,j) \in \Phi^-$ strictly above (i,j), thus $h^l_{ij} = \{(l,j) \,|\, j < l < i\}$. Finally the **hook** h_{ij} centred at (i,j) is defined to be $h_{ij} = h^a_{ij} \cup h^l_{ij} \cup \{(i,j)\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$ be a main condition set. The hooks centred at positions in $\mathfrak{p}$ are called **main hooks.**

6.4 Theorem. [6] Let $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ be a verge lidempotent with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}_A = \{(i_1,j_1), \ldots, (i_k,j_k)\} \subseteq \Phi^-$. Then the right projective stabilizer of $[A]$ in U, that is the set $\{u \in U \,|\, [A]u = \lambda[A], \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Pstab}_U[A]$, is a pattern subgroup $U_\mathcal{R}$ with

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(r,s) \in \Phi^- \,|\, s \notin \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}\} \cup \{(r, j_\nu) \,|\, \nu = 1, \ldots, k, i_\nu \leqslant r \leqslant n\}.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{p}_A \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\circ = \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_A$ is closed. Moreover $U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ}$ acts trivially on $[A]$, $U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ} \trianglelefteq U_R$ and $U_\mathcal{R}/U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ} \cong X_{i_1j_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_kj_k}$ acting on $[A]$ by the linear character $\theta_A = \theta_1 \times \theta_2 \times \cdots \theta_k$, where $\theta_\nu : X_{i_\nu j_\nu} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ sends $x_{i_\nu j_\nu}(\alpha)$ to $\theta(\alpha A_{i_\nu j_\nu}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, k$. □

Thus $\mathcal{R}$ consists of all positions in Φ^- in zero columns of A together with all positions on and below the positions in $\mathfrak{p}_A$.

6.5 Definition. Let $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ be a verge with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}_A \subseteq \Phi^-$. $U_\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{Pstab}_U[A]$ is defined as above. We define $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ to be $\mathcal{R}$ combined with all positions on hook legs, such that the corresponding subgroups change in $[A]$ only the values at a hook intersection acting from the right. We illustrate as follows:

verge $[A]$ =



$\mathcal{R}$ = positions in zero columns plus positions not above z marked by $\times$
z = main conditions
$\circ$ = main hook intersections
$\square$ = positions in $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$

(6.6)

For example X_{bj} acting on $[A]$ will only change the entry at the main hook intersection (i,b). It was shown in [6, 4.5], that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is a closed subset of Φ^- with $U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ}, U_\mathcal{R} \trianglelefteq U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ and that $U_\mathcal{R}/U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ} \cong X_{i_1j_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_kj_k}$ is a central subgroup of $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}/U_{\mathcal{R}^\circ}$.

6.7 Definition. Let

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_k, j_k)\} \subseteq \Phi^-$$

being a main condition set. We call $\mathfrak{p}$ **completely hook disconnected** if $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}$ are disjoint. Thus, in this case, $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of $2k$ many pairwise different indices.

Thus if $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ is a verge with completely disconnected condition set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{supp}(A)$, no main hooks of $\mathfrak{p}$ meet at the diagonal, that is $(a, i), (i, j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ does not occur:
For our main application for section 8, this condition is automatically satisfied and is the special case of hook disconnected main condition sets defined in [6, 5.1]. We state here the main result of [6] for those, as far as they are needed here.

6.8 Results. [6] Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$ be a (completely) hook disconnected condition set and $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ be a verge with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$. Then the following holds:

1) $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^- = \hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is closed in Φ^- with $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-} \trianglelefteq U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$.
2) $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbb{C}(U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}/U_{\mathcal{R}}) \cong \mathbb{C}(U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-}/U_{\mathcal{R}^o}) = \mathbb{C}H$.
3) $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}/U_{\mathcal{R}^o} \cong H \times X_{i_1 j_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_k j_k}$. If S is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}H$-module, extending the action of H on S by the linear character θ_A defined in 6.4 and letting $U_{\mathcal{R}^o}$ act trivially yields an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$-module $\hat{S}$ such that $[A]\hat{S} \cong \hat{S}$ and $[A]\hat{S}\mathbb{C}U \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^{U} \hat{S}$ is an irreducible constituent of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$.
4) $S \mapsto \hat{S} \mapsto [A]\hat{S}\mathbb{C}U$ is a multiplicity preserving bijection between the irreducible constituents of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}H$ and those of the $\mathbb{C}U$-module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$. □

6.9 Remark. So, in particular, choosing S to be the trivial $\mathbb{C}H$-module, $[A]\hat{S}\mathbb{C}U \leqslant \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$ is a unique irreducible constituent (of multiplicity one) of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$, isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^{U} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_{A}$, where ϵ_{A} is the primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{C}U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ affording the linear character θ_A on $U_{\mathcal{R}}/U_{\mathcal{R}^o}$ and the trivial character on H. In particular, $\mathrm{Stab}_U(\epsilon_{A}) = U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-} \trianglelefteq U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}} = \mathrm{Pstab}_U(\epsilon_{A})$.

7 Two part compositions

In this section we apply the general method of section 5 to the special case that $\lambda = (n - m, m), 1 \leqslant m \leqslant n - 1$ is a composition of n into two parts. Thus $P_\lambda \leqslant GL_n(q)$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup and all maximal parabolic subgroups of $GL_n(q)$ are conjugate to some $P_\lambda, \lambda = (n - m, m) \vDash n$. Moreover, if $\lambda = (n - m, m) \vDash n$ then $\mu = (m, n - m) \vDash n$ too and it is a well known fact, that $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\lambda}^{GL_n(q)} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P_\mu}^{GL_n(q)} \mathbb{C}$. Thus, in the following, we may always assume that $\lambda = (n - m, m)$ is indeed a partition of n, that is $m \leqslant n - m$. Note that the set $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ of λ-flags is the set of m-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. By 4.10 we may identify $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ by matrices in $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda = \{du \,|\, d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, u \in (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U\}$ where each matrix in $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ is divided into two compartments, the upper compartment of $A \in \mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ consisting of the first $(n - m)$ and the lower one of the last m rows of A. For $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$, we denote the second row of $\mathfrak{s}$ by $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}$, thus $\underline{\mathfrak{s}} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ with $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leqslant n$. Obviously $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ determines $\mathfrak{s}$ uniquely the first row of $\mathfrak{s}$ consisting of all numbers $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ ordered from left to right by the natural ordering of $\mathbb{N}$. Note that by our convention introduced in section 5, the rows in the lower compartment of $A \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0$ are labelled by $i_1, \ldots, i_m$, (comp. 5.9). Fix $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s})$ and recall Definition 5.4. In particular:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \,|\, i, j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}} \text{ or } i, j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\} \\ J &= J(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i, j) \in \Phi^- \,|\, i \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\} \\ K &= K(\mathfrak{s}) = L \cup J. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Let $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J$, then $A + E \in U_J$. Then all entries in the first compartment of A are zero, and hence e_A is entirely determined by the lower compartment. Thus, in illustrations, we may omit the first compartment.

7.2 Remark. By 5.8 U_J is abelian and acts on the lidempotents in $\mathcal{E}_J$ by linear characters. Moreover L splits into $L_1 = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \mid i,j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$ and $L_2 = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \mid i,j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$, the positions in L_1 belonging to the upper and in L_2 to the lower compartment in matrices in $\mathfrak{X}_\mathfrak{s}$. By 5.7, $U_L = U_{L_1} \times U_{L_2}$, $U_{L_1} \cong U^-_{n-m}(q), U_{L_2} \cong U^-_m(q)$, where U_{L_1} acts by truncated column and U_{L_2} by truncated row operations on $\mathcal{E}_J$ permuting $\mathcal{E}_J$. For $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J$ we denote the U_K-orbit in $\mathcal{E}_J$ containing e_A by $\mathcal{O}^J_A$ as in 3.21.

Throughout this section we shall use the setting and notation introduced above without further notice. The permutation module $\mathrm{Res}^{GL_n(q)}_U M(\lambda)$ has been investigated in [3, 7] and [9] using the basis of $M(\lambda)$ consisting of λ-flags. The basis $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ was constructed there. Comparing Proposition 5.7 with section 2 of [9] shows that indeed our construction here contains the exposition in [9] as a special case.
For the convenience of the reader we summarize the relevant results on $M_\mathfrak{s}$ shown in [9].

7.3 Results. (1) [9, 2.5.6] Each U_K-orbit $\mathcal{O}^J$ of $\mathcal{E}_J$ contains a unique lidempotent $e_A, A \in V_J$, such that in each row and in each column of A there is at most one non-zero entry. Similarly as in 6.2 we call such lidempotent **verge (lidempotent)** of $\mathcal{E}_J$ and define $\mathrm{main}(\mathcal{O}^J) = \mathrm{main}(e_A) = \mathrm{supp}(A)$. Note, that then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_A = \mathrm{supp}(A)$ is a main condition set in Φ^- as defined in 6.2. In particular, (putting the rows of A again in the natural order) $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$ is a verge in the lidempotent basis of $\mathbb{C}(V_{\Phi^-}, +)$.

(2) Let $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J$ be a verge and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_A = \mathrm{main}(e_A)$ its main condition set. Recall from 6.2 the definition of $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{I} = \{i \in \{1,\dots,n\} \mid \exists\, 1 \leqslant j < i : (i,j) \in \mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J} = \{j \in \{1,\dots,n\} \mid \exists\, j < i \leqslant n : (i,j) \in \mathfrak{p}\}$. Let $\mu = (n-k,k) \vDash n, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\mu)$. We say $\mathfrak{p}$ **fits** the $\mathfrak{t}$-component $M_\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathrm{Res}^{GL_n(q)}_U M(\mu)$, if $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{I} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{t}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{t}} = \emptyset$.

(3) [9, 2.5.10] Let $e_A \in \mathcal{E}_J$ be a verge with main condition set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_A \subseteq \Phi^-$.

i) Define $L_1^0 = \{(i,j) \in L_1 \mid j \notin \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J}$ or $\exists\,(b,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $b < i\}$. Thus L_1^0 consists of all positions (i,j) in L_1 in the upper compartment of dA, $d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, where either column j is a zero column (if and only if $j \notin \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J}$) or there is a main condition (b,j) in column $j \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J}$, above position (i,j) in A (in the natural order of rows of A).
Note that in both cases $(i,j) \in \mathcal{R}^o$ and hence $X_{ij} \in \mathrm{Stab}_U[A]$ by 6.4. We illustrate the case $j \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$:



If $(b,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $b < i$, then $(b,i) \notin \Phi^-$ and hence $(b,i) \notin J$. Now $e_{_A} x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_{_B}$, where B is obtained from A by adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i and projecting the resulting matrix into V_J. Since $(b,i) \notin J$ we conclude that $e_{_A} x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_{_A}$. Indeed L_1^0 is closed in Φ^- and $U_{L_1^0} = \mathrm{Stab}_{U_{L_1}}(e_{_A})$.

ii) Define $L_2^0 = \{(i,j) \in L_2 \mid i \notin \mathfrak{p}_{_\mathcal{I}} \text{ or } \exists\,(i,v) \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } v > j,\}$. Thus L_2^0 consists of positions (i,j) in L_2 in the lower compartment of dA, where either contained in a zero row of A (if and only if $i \notin \mathfrak{p}_{_\mathcal{I}}$) or the main condition in row i is to the right of (i,j). Again we illustrate the situation in the second case $i \in \mathfrak{p}_{_\mathcal{I}}$ (ommiting from dA the upper compartment): Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$:



Again, since $j < v$ and (j,v) is the only position being changed in dA, when adding α times row i to row j. But this entry at position (j,v) is set back to zero by truncation. Thus $e_{_A} x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_{_A}$, indeed $L_2^0 \subseteq \Phi^-$ is closed and $U_{L_2^0} = \mathrm{Stab}_{U_{L_2}}(e_{_A})$.

iii) The stabilizer of $e_{_A}$ in U_K is not a pattern subgroup in general. To see this consider the following situation: Let $(s,i),(t,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $1 \leqslant j < i < t < s \leqslant n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$:



Then $(t,i) \in J$ is a main hook intersection. Note that $i,j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$, $s,t \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ hence $(i,j) \in L_1, (s,t) \in L_2$ are in the upper respectively in the lower compartment. Acting by $x_{ij}(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$) on $e_{_A}$ adds $-\alpha$ times column j to column i and hence inserts $-\alpha A_{tj}$ into position (t,i). Acting by $x_{st}(\beta)$ ($\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$) adds α times row s to row t and hence inserts βA_{si} into position (t,i). In both cases, the entry at position (t,i) is the only one which is changed. Note that by assumption $A_{tj} \neq 0 \neq A_{si}$ and hence choosing $\beta = \alpha \frac{A_{tj}}{A_{si}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $e_{_A} x_{ij}(\alpha) x_{st}(\beta) = e_{_A}$, that is $x_{ij}(\alpha) x_{st}(\beta) \in \mathrm{Stab}_{U_K}(e_{_A})$. Note that inspecting 6.4 we see that $(i,j) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and hence $X_{ij} \leqslant U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$.

(4) [9, 2.5.14] Let $\mathcal{O}^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ be an U_K-orbit. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^J$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U_K$-module.

(5) Recall from 5.6 and 3.9 that $\mathrm{Res}^U_{U_K} M_{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_J$, where $M_{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathrm{Ind}^U_{U_P} \mathbb{C}\overline{P_\lambda}\, d$ by 5.1, $d = \mathrm{d}(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, U_P = P_\lambda^d \cap U$ (by 5.5) and $\overline{P_\lambda} = \sum_{h \in P_\lambda} h$. An isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_J$ to $\mathrm{Res}^U_{U_K} M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ can be described explicitly by $f^* : \mathbb{C}(V_J,+) \to \mathbb{C}U_K : \tau \mapsto \tau \circ f$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^{V_J} \cong \mathbb{C}(V_J,+)$,

see 2.7. Here $f : U_K \to V_J$ is the 1-cocycle of 3.8. Recall that $f|_{U_J} : U_J \to V_J$ is given as $f(u) = u - E$ by the proof of 3.8. Setting $\hat{U}_L = q^{-|L|} \sum_{x \in U_L} x$, we have now $f^*(A) = \hat{U}_L(A + E) \in \mathbb{C}U_K$, since $U_L = \ker f$ by 2.8. Hence

$$f^*(e_{_A}) = f^*(q^{-|J|} \sum\nolimits_{B \in V_J} \overline{\chi_{_A}(B)} B) = q^{-|J|} \hat{U}_L \sum\nolimits_{u \in U_J} \overline{\chi_{_A}(u - E)} u$$

Observing that $U_L \subseteq U_P \subseteq P_\lambda^d, M_\mathfrak{s} = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_P}^U \mathbb{C}\overline{P_\lambda} d = \overline{P_\lambda} d\, \mathbb{C}U$, we see that $\overline{P_\lambda} d = \overline{P_\lambda} d \hat{U}_P = \overline{P_\lambda} d \hat{U}_L$, where $\hat{U}_P = q^{-|P|} \sum_{x \in U_P} x$. Therefore we may identify $f^*(e_{_A})$ with $\sum\limits_{u \in U_J} \overline{P_\lambda} d\, \overline{\chi_{_A}(u - E)} u \in \overline{P_\lambda} d\, \mathbb{C}U \cong M_\mathfrak{s}$. Let $\mathcal{O}^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ be an U_K-orbit, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^J \subseteq \overline{P_\lambda} d\mathbb{C}U$ its image under the identifications, then it was shown in [9, 2.6.2], that $\mathbb{C}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^J \subseteq \overline{P_\lambda} d\mathbb{C}U$ is U-invariant. Since $\mathbb{C}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^J \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^J$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U_K$-module, we conclude that the U_K-action on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^J$ can be extended to U yielding the irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^J \cong \mathbb{C}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^J$. □

7.4 Remark. For a given main condition $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$, it might fit many irreducible orbit modules for different components and even for different 2-part partitions. For example: Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	*	□	1			
5	α	*	0	*	1	
6			0		0	1

$\lambda = (3,3)$, $\mathfrak{t} =$

1	2	4
3	5	6

	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	*	1				
5	α	0	*	*	1	
6		0			0	1

$\lambda = (3,3)$, $\mathfrak{t} =$

1	3	4
2	5	6

	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	*	1				
5	α	0	*	*	1	

$\lambda = (4,2)$, $\mathfrak{t} =$

1	3	4	6
2	5		

The three orbits above have the same main condition set $\mathfrak{p} = (5,1)$ with the filling α and the same dimension q^3.

In 7.3 part (4) and (5) we have seen that the $\mathbb{C}$-spaces spanned by orbits $\mathcal{O}^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ are irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-modules. The next theorem states, that these $\mathbb{C}U$-modules depend not really on $\mathcal{O}^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ or even on the 2-part partition $\lambda = (n - m, m)$, but only on the main condition set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{main}(\mathcal{O}^J)$ and the non-zero values of A at positions in $\mathfrak{p}$ for the unique verge lidempotent $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{O}^J$.

7.5 Theorem. [9, 3.1.30] Let λ, μ be 2-part partitions of n and let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\mu)$. Let $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{E}_{J(\mathfrak{s})}$ and $e_{_B} \in \mathcal{E}_{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ be verges with $A = B$ in $M_n(q)$. Thus $\mathrm{main}(\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{s})}) = \mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{supp}(B) = \mathrm{main}(\mathcal{O}_B^{J(\mathfrak{t})})$ and $A_{ij} = B_{ij}$ for all $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_B^{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ as $\mathbb{C}U$-modules.

As a consequence of the main result 8.17 of this paper we obtain that the converse of Theorem 7.5 holds as well, that is $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_B^{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ if and only if $A = B$ in $M_n(q)$.

7.6 Remark. Let $\lambda = (n - m, m)$ be a partition of n, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{O}^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ an U_K-orbit, $J = J(\mathfrak{s})$ as above. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_k, j_k)\} = \mathrm{main}(\mathcal{O}^J)$ the set of main conditions for the unique verge $e_{_A}$ ($A \in V_J$) in $\mathcal{O}^J = \mathcal{O}_A^J$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq J = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \mid i \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$ we conclude that $\mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{I} \cap \mathfrak{p}_\mathcal{J} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\} = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$ is a completely hook disconnected

main condition set. Moreover $k \leqslant m$. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_m\}$, then $\mu = (n-k, k)$ is as well a partition of n and $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ with $\underline{\mathfrak{t}} = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ (assuming as we always do, that $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n$). It is obvious that $\mathfrak{p}$ fits $\mathfrak{t}$ as well and $A \in V_{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ as well. By 7.5 $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{t})}$. This indeed works for arbitrary completely hook disconnected main condition sets $\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_k, j_k)\} \subseteq \Phi^-$. Since then $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} = \emptyset$, we always have $2k \leqslant n$ and hence $(n-k, k)$ is a partition of n, and there is a unique row standard λ-tableau $\mathfrak{t}$ with $\underline{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$. We remark that A is in fact standard, that is increasing in the columns as well. This is not hard to see, but is not needed in this paper. If $|\mathfrak{p}| = k$ and $\lambda = (n-k, k)$, each row of the lower compartment of A ($e_{_A} \in \mathcal{E}_J$ a verge, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{supp}(A)$) carries a condition. We say in this case that the corresponding orbit module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ has **full condition set**.

8 $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ as constituent of $[A]\mathbb{C}U$

Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \ldots, (i_m, j_m)\} \subseteq \Phi^-, 1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leqslant n$ be a completely hook disconnected main condition set in Φ^-, and let $A \in M_n(q)$ be such that $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\lambda = (n-m, m)$ and let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the unique row standard λ-tableau with $\underline{\mathfrak{s}} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$. Thus, in view of 7.6, $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{E}_J, J = J(\mathfrak{s})$ as in 7.1, is a verge, $\mathrm{main}(e_{_A}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ has full condition set. Being an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-module by 7.3 part (5), $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ must occur as irreducible constituent of $[B]\mathbb{C}U$ for precisely one verge $[B] \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\Phi^-}$, $B \in \mathrm{Lie}(U) = \{u - E \,|\, u \in U\}$. We shall show that $B = A \in V_J \leqslant \mathrm{Lie}(U)$. However, as we shall see, a $\mathbb{C}U$-homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ into $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$, ($\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_A^{\Phi^-}$) will not take $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{O}_A^J$ to $[A] \in \mathcal{O}_A$.
Throughout this section $A \in V_J, J = J(\mathfrak{s})$, with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$. We use the notation of the previous sections freely. In particular $J = J(\mathfrak{s}), L = L(\mathfrak{s})$ and $K = K(\mathfrak{s})$ are as in 7.1. Recall from 7.2 that L splits into closed subsets $L_1 = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \,|\, i, j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$ and $L_2 = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \,|\, i, j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$ of Φ^-.

8.1 Definition. Throughout let $\mathfrak{f} = \hat{U}_{L_2}$ be the trivial idempotent of U_{L_2}, that is $f = q^{-|L_2|} \sum_{x \in U_{L_2}} x$, and set $\hat{e}_{_A} = e_{_A}\mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$.

8.2 Lemma. $\hat{e}_{_A} \neq 0$. Thus $\hat{e}_{_A}\mathbb{C}U = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$.

Proof. Recall from 7.3 part (3) ii), that $\mathrm{Stab}_{U_{L_2}}(e_{_A}) = U_{L_2^0}$. Choose the following linear ordering of L_2 and take all products of elements $x_{ij}(\alpha), (i,j) \in L_2$ in this fixed order: First we take the roots $(i,j) \in L_2^0$ in an arbitrary ordering, then the remaining positions (i,j) in L_2 along columns top down and rows from left right. Thus $(i,j) < (a,b)$ in $L_2 \setminus L_2^0$ implies $i, j, a, b \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$, the main conditions in row i and a are to the left of (i,j) and (a,b) respectively, and $j < b$ or $j = b$ and $i < a$.



$x_{ij}(\alpha)$, $(i,j) \in L_2 \setminus L_2^0$, acts on $e_{_A}$ by adding α times row i to row j and hence changing only position $(j,k) \in J$ ($k \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$). In the order above, the root subgroups fill the positions (in J) on the top of main conditions from top down. As a consequence, $e_{_A}\mathfrak{f}$ is $q^{-|L_2|+|L_2^0|}$ times the sum

of all lidempotents e_B, where B runs through the set of all matrices in V_J, coinciding with A except the positions (in J) in columns of and above the main conditions, which are filled by arbitrary entries from $\mathbb{F}_q$. This proves $e_A\mathfrak{f} = q^{-|L_2|+|L_2^0|}\sum e_B \neq 0$ proving the claim. □

Now let $H \leqslant \mathrm{Pstab}_U(\hat{e}_A) = \{u \in U \mid \hat{e}_A u = \lambda_u \hat{e}_A, \exists\, \lambda_u \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$. Then $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{C}^* : u \mapsto \lambda_u$ is a linear character of H and we have a natural epimorphism of $\mathbb{C}U$-modules

$$\mu : \mathrm{Ind}_H^U \mathbb{C}\hat{e}_A \to \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J : \hat{e}_A \otimes u \mapsto \hat{e}_A u \tag{8.3}$$

for any $u \in U$. We shall show that $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}} \leqslant \mathrm{Pstab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$, where $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \Phi^-$ is defined in 6.4 for orbit $\mathcal{O}_A = \mathcal{O}_A^{\Phi^-} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\Phi^-}$ containing the verge lidempotent $[A]$. Then we prove that $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ acts on $\hat{e}_A$ by the linear character afforded by ϵ_A defined in 6.9. Then 6.9 says in particular that $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^U \mathbb{C}\hat{e}_A$ is irreducible, proving that μ in (8.3) with $H = U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ must be an isomorphism. This implies $\mathrm{Pstab}_U(\hat{e}_A) = U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ and identifies the irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$ as the unique irreducible constituent in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{\Phi^-} = [A]\mathbb{C}U$ corresponding to the trivial module of $\mathbb{C}(U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-}/U_{\mathcal{R}^o})$ extended to $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{\Phi^-})$ by θ_A as in 6.8 part 3).

8.4 Lemma. $U_{L_2} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$ and $L_2 \in \mathcal{R}^o$. Moreover $U_{L_2} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U[A]$ as well.

Proof. By construction $\hat{e}_A u = e_A\mathfrak{f}u = e_A\mathfrak{f} = \hat{e}_A$ for all $u \in U_{L_2}$. So $U_{L_2} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$. For $(i,j) \in L_2$, we have $i, j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ and hence $j \notin \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq J$. Thus column j is a zero column in A and hence $(i,j) \in \mathcal{R}^o$ by 6.4. □

Let $(a,b) \in L_2$, $(i,j) \in L_1$. Then $a, b \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, i, j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ and hence $a \neq j$ and $b \neq i$. By Chevalley's commutator formula (see e.g. [2]) X_{ab} and X_{ij} commute and hence $U_L = U_{L_1} \times U_{L_2}$. In particular this implies

8.5 Lemma. $U_{L_1^0} = \mathrm{Stab}_{U_{L_1}}(e_A) \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_{U_{L_1}}(\hat{e}_A)$. Moreover $L_1^0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}^0$ and hence $U_{L_1^0} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U[A]$.

Proof. This follows from 7.3 part (3) i). □

8.6 Definition. Let L_1^1 be the set of all positions $(i,j) \in L_1$ such that $e_A x_{ij}(\alpha)\,(\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q)$ change entries at main hook intersections. Thus $(i,j) \in L_1^1$ if and only if $i, j \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and there exist $s, t \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that $n \geqslant s > t > i > j \geqslant 1$ and $(s,i), (t,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$.

8.7 Lemma. Let $(i,j) \in L_1^1$. Then $X_{ij} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$. Moreover $(i,j) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}^- \setminus \mathcal{R}^o$.

Proof. By definition of L_1^1 and 7.3 part (3) iii) we find $(s,t) \in L_2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $e_A x_{st}(\beta) = e_A x_{ij}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus

$$\hat{e}_A x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_A\mathfrak{f}x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_A x_{ij}(\alpha)\mathfrak{f} = e_A x_{st}(\beta)\mathfrak{f} = e_A\mathfrak{f} = \hat{e}_A,$$

since $x_{st}(\beta)\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}x_{st}(\beta) = f$. Thus $X_{ij} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$. Now $[A]x_{ij}(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha A_{ij})[B] = [B] \in \mathcal{O}_A$, since $A_{ij} = 0$, where B is obtained from A by adding $-\alpha$ times column j to column i in A and projecting the resulting matrix into $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$. Obviously this is the same matrix B occurring in $e_A x_{ij}(\alpha) = e_B \in \mathcal{O}_A^J$, differing from A only on the main hook intersection $(t,i) \in J$. By 6.4, $(i,j) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}^- \setminus \mathcal{R}^o$, as desired. □

Now let $J^0 \subseteq J$ be the set of all positions (a,j) in the lower compartment of dA in column j which are in zero columns of A if $j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ or, there is a main condition (b,j) above or on it. Thus $J^0 = \{(a,j) \in J \mid j \notin \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$ or $\exists\,(b,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $b \leqslant a\}$.

8.8 Lemma. Let $(a,j) \in J^0$. Then $X_{aj} \leqslant \mathrm{Pstab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$ and $X_{aj} \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$ if and only if $(a,j) \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Moreover $(a,j) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(a,j) \in \mathcal{R}^o$ if and only if $(a,j) \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Finally X_{aj} acts on $\hat{e}_A$ by the same linear character as on $[A] \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Recall from the proof of 8.2 that $\hat{e}_{_A} = e_{_A}\mathfrak{f} = q^{-|L_2|+|L_2^0|}\sum_B e_{_B}$, where B runs through the set of all matrices in V_J coinciding with A at positions in $\mathfrak{p}$, having zero entries at all positions in zero columns of A and in columns of A with main conditions below those. Let $(a,j) \in J, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then by 7.2 and 3.16

$$e_{_B}x_{aj}(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha B_{aj})e_{_B} = \begin{cases} e_{_B} & \text{for } (a,j) \notin \mathfrak{p} \\ \theta(\alpha A_{aj})e_{_B} & \text{for } (a,j) \in \mathfrak{p} \end{cases}$$

for such matrices B, since then $B_{aj} \neq 0$ only if $(a,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ and then $B_{aj} = A_{aj}$. Thus

$$\hat{e}_{_A}x_{aj}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \hat{e}_{_A} & \text{for } (a,j) \notin \mathfrak{p} \\ \theta(\alpha A_{aj})\hat{e}_{_A} & \text{for } (a,j) \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{cases} \tag{8.9}$$

By 6.4 again $X_{aj} \in \mathcal{R}^o$, if $(a,j) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and if $(a,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ then $[A]x_{aj}(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha A_{aj})[A]$. □

Let $I = I(\mathfrak{s}) = \{(i,j) \in \Phi^- \mid i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$ (compare 5.4 part 3)). Then I is closed in Φ^- and Φ^- is the disjoint union of K and I. So $U = U_KU_I = U_IU_K$ (but in general neither U_K nor U_I is normal in U). In general U_I does not act monomially on the lidempotent basis $\mathcal{O}_A^J$ of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$, but, as we shall show, U_I is contained in $\mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_{_A})$. To prove this recall $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_J$ has $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\{\overline{P_\lambda}du \mid u \in U_J\}, d = d(\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda, U_J = (U_\lambda^-)^d \cap U$, by 5.1 part 2) and 5.5 part 1). Clearly the image of $\hat{e}_{_A} = e_{_A}\mathfrak{f}$ in $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ under the isomorphism $f^* : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_J \to M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ in 7.3 part (5) is contained in $M_{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{f}$ which is generated as $\mathbb{C}$-vector space by $\{\overline{P_\lambda}du\mathfrak{f} \mid u \in U_J\}$, where $\overline{P_\lambda} = \sum_{x\in P_\lambda} x$. We show for $g \in U_I$, that $\overline{P_\lambda}du\mathfrak{f}g = \overline{P_\lambda}du\mathfrak{f}$, proving $m\mathfrak{f}g = m\mathfrak{f}$, $\forall\, m \in M_{\mathfrak{s}}$. From this follows in particular $\hat{e}_{_A}g = \hat{e}_{_A}$, that is $U_I \leqslant \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_{_A})$.

We first inspect matrices of the form duv for $u \in U_J, v \in U_{L_2}$. Recall that we may think of du as u with reordered rows, dividing u into two compartments, the rows of the lower one labelled by $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$, those of the upper one by the numbers $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ not contained in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ in their natural order. Note that with these convention, the "last ones" of 4.9, coming from the diagonal ones in u, are at position (i,i) in du for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Let $u \in U_J, (a,b) \in L_2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $dux_{ab}(\beta)$ is obtained from du by adding β times column a to column b. Note that in du the only non-zero entries in columns b and a are the "last ones" at positions (b,b) and (a,a), since $u \in U_J, J = \{(r,s) \in \Phi^- \mid r \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathfrak{s} \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$. So the matrix $dux_{ab}(\beta)$ coincides with du in all positions but positions (a,b), on which the entry of $dux_{ab}(\beta)$ is β:

$dux_{ab}(\beta) =$



Let $\mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$ be the set of all matrices in $M_n(q)$ by placing arbitrary values from $\mathbb{F}_q$ in du at positions $(a,b) \in L_2$. We have shown:

8.10 Lemma. Keeping the notation introduced above we have

$$du\mathfrak{f} = q^{-|L_2|}\sum_{v\in U_{L_2}} duv = q^{-|L_2|}\sum_{y\in\mathfrak{D}_{L_2}} y.$$

□

Fix $u \in U_J$ and define $\mathfrak{D}_{L_2} \subseteq M_n(q)$ as in 8.10. Let $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}, (i,j) \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then we have:

8.11 Lemma. There exists $z \in P_\lambda$ (depending on $x_{ij}(\alpha)$) such that $zyx_{ij}(\alpha) = \tilde{y} \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$.

Proof. Since $(i,j) \in I$ we have $i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. Note that $yx_{ij}(\alpha)$ is obtained from y by adding α times column i to column j in y. Note further, that the only non-zero entries of y besides the last ones are all in the lower compartment and on positions (s,t) with $s > t$ and $s \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}}$, *i.e.* the "last one" at position (s,s) belongs to the lower compartment. So:

$yx_{ij}(\alpha) =$



(8.12)

Thus $yx_{ij}(\alpha)$ has α at position (i,j). Now since $j < i, X_{ji} \subseteq U^+ \leqslant P_\lambda$, hence $x_{ji}(-\alpha)yx_{ij}(\alpha)$ is obtained from $yx_{ij}(\alpha)$ by adding $-\alpha$ times row j to row i, removing entry α at position (i,j) again. This might introduce non-zero entries in row i to the left of position (i,j) But those can be removed by row operations coming from multiplication from the left by element $x_{si}(\gamma), \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ where either $s \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ (so $X_{si} \leqslant L_\lambda$) or $X_{si}(\sigma) \leqslant U_\lambda^+$, the unipotent radical of P_λ, if $s \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, s < j$. Note that besides the last one at position (i,i), column i of y has non-zero entries only at positions (b,i) with $i < b \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}}$, that is to the left of the last one at position (b,b), therefore the resulting matrix $\tilde{y} = zyx_{ij}(\alpha), z \in P_\lambda$, differs from y only at positions in column j below position (j,j), which all belong to L_2. Then $\tilde{y} \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$ again, as desired. □

8.13 Corollary. Let $u \in U_J$, and let $\mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$ be defined for u as above. Then $\overline{P_\lambda} du\mathfrak{f}g = \overline{P_\lambda} du\mathfrak{f}$ for all $g \in U_I$.

Proof. Since U_I is generated by X_{ij}, $(i,j) \in I$, we may assume $g = x_{ij}(\alpha)$ for some $(i,j) \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. By 8.11 we have for each $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$, $\overline{P_\lambda} yx_{ij}(\alpha) = \overline{P_\lambda} z^{-1}\tilde{y} = \overline{P_\lambda}\tilde{y}$. Clearly $y \to \tilde{y}$ is a permutation of $\mathfrak{D}_{L_2}$ and hence by 8.10

$$\overline{P_\lambda} du\mathfrak{f}x_{ij}(\alpha) = q^{-|L_2|} \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}} \overline{P_\lambda} yx_{ij}(\alpha) = q^{-|L_2|} \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{D}_{L_2}} \overline{P_\lambda}\tilde{y} = \overline{P_\lambda} du\mathfrak{f}.$$

□

Now our desired result follows immediately, observing that $f^*(\hat{e}_A) \in M_{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{f}$:

8.14 Corollary. Let $g \in U_I$. Then $\hat{e}_A g = \hat{e}_A$ and hence $g \in \mathrm{Stab}_U(\hat{e}_A)$. □

Let $(i,j) \in I$, then $i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. In particular, since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq J = \{(a,b) \in \Phi^- \mid a \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, b \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}\}$, $(r,j) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $j < r \leqslant n$ and hence column j is a zero column in A. By 6.4 $I \subseteq \mathcal{R}^o \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Indeed we have the following:

8.15 Lemma. $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = L_2 \cup L_1^0 \cup L_1^1 \cup J^0 \cup I$ with $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R} = L_1^1$, $\mathcal{R} = L_2 \cup L_1^0 \cup J^0 \cup I$ and $\mathcal{R}^0 = L_2 \cup L_1^0 \cup I \cup (J^0 \setminus \mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. We have already seen in the previous results that the right hand sides are contained in the left hand sides of all equalities in the lemma. For $(s,i),(t,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $s > t > i > j$ there is a main hook intersection $(t,i) \in J$, since $t \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. From this follows immediately that $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R} = L_1^1$. Moreover since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq J^0$, it suffices to check $\mathcal{R}^o \subseteq L_2 \cup L_1^0 \cup I \cup (J^0 \setminus \mathfrak{p})$.
Let $(i,j) \in \mathcal{R}^0$. If $j \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$, then $(i,j) \in I$ if $i \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. So let $i \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. Then $(i,j) \in L_2$. Now suppose $j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. If $i \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$, then $(i,j) \in J$. If $j \notin \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$, then j is a zero column in A and $(i,j) \in J^0$. If $j \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$ then there exists a main condition $(b,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $b < a$ by the definition of $\mathcal{R}^0$ and hence $(i,j) \in J^0$ by the definition of J^0. Finally let $i,j \notin \underline{\mathfrak{s}}$. Then $(i,j) \in L_1$. If $j \notin \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$, then column j is a zero column in A and $(i,j) \in L_1^0$ by 7.3 part (3) i). If $j \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$, there is a main condition $(a,j) \in \mathfrak{p}$ in column j above (i,j), that is $a < i$ by the definition of $\mathcal{R}^o$ and hence $(i,j) \in L_1^0$ again by 7.3 part (3) i). □

Recall that $\mathfrak{p} = \{(i_1,j_1),\ldots,(i_m,j_m)\}$ is completely hook disconnected. Thus we may apply the results in 6.8 to the U-orbit module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A = [A]\mathbb{C}U$. Recall from 6.9 that there exists a linear character $\psi_{_A}$ whose restriction to $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-} \trianglelefteq U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ is trivial and which is θ_A defined in 6.4 on $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}/U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-} \cong X_{i_1j_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_mj_m}$. Note that by 8.8 and 8.15 this is precisely the linear character of $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ afforded by $\mathbb{C}\hat{e}_{_A}$. Let $\epsilon_{_A} \in \mathbb{C}U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ the primitive idempotent such that $\mathbb{C}\epsilon_{_A}$ affords $\psi_{_A}$ and set $\widehat{[A]} = [A]\epsilon_{_A} \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$. Then $S = \widehat{[A]}\mathbb{C}U \leqslant \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$ is the induced $\mathbb{C}U$-module $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^U \mathbb{C}\widehat{[A]}$ and is irreducible. Since $\mathbb{C}\hat{e}_{_A} \cong \mathbb{C}\widehat{[A]}$ as $\mathbb{C}U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$-modules, we conclude that $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^U \mathbb{C}\hat{e}_{_A}$ is irreducible too and hence the map μ in 8.3

$$\mu : \mathrm{Ind}_{U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}}^U \mathbb{C}\hat{e}_{_A} \to \hat{e}_{_A}\mathbb{C}U = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J \tag{8.16}$$

is an $\mathbb{C}U$-module isomorphism. Thus we have shown:

8.17 Theorem. Let $\lambda = (n-m,m)$ be a composition of n, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), J = J(\mathfrak{s})$ and let $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{E}_J$ be a verge with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{supp}(A)$. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J = e_{_A}\mathbb{C}U$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$-module isomorphic to the unique irreducible constituent of $[A]\mathbb{C}U = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$ corresponding to the trivial representation of $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}^-}$ extended to $U_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ by the linear character $\theta_{_A}$ of $\bigtimes_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{p}} X_{ij}$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \Phi^-$ is a set of completely hook disconnected main conditions, $A \in M_n(q)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$, then the unique irreducible constituent of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A$ described above is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$, where $\lambda = (n - |\mathfrak{p}|, |\mathfrak{p}|)$. □

There are several sequences of 8.17:

8.18 Consequences. 1) Let λ,μ be 2-part partitions of n and let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda), \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\mu)$. Let $e_{_A} \in \mathcal{E}_{J(\mathfrak{s})}$ and $e_{_B} \in \mathcal{E}_{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ be verge lidempotents. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^{J(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_B^{J(\mathfrak{t})}$ if and only if $A = B$.

2) Let $\lambda = (n-m,m) \vDash n$ and let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$. Then $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is multiplicity free, its irreducible constituents being of the form $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J$, $J = J(\mathfrak{s})$, where $A \in V_J$ satisfies: $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{supp}(A)$ is a main condition set with $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{s}} = \emptyset$. By [9, 2.5.10] $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_A^J) = |\mathcal{O}_A^J|$ depends only on $\mathfrak{p}$, not on $A \in V_J$ with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$, and hence there are $(q-1)^{|\mathfrak{p}|}$ many orbits $\mathcal{O}_A^J \subseteq \mathcal{E}_J$ with $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathfrak{p}$ for a given completely hook disconnected main condition set fitting $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$. As consequence, the number of irreducible constituents of $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of fixed

dimension q^c is a polynomial in $(q-1)$ with integral, non-negative coefficients independent of q.

3) Each permutation representation of $GL_n(q)$ on the cosets of a maximal parabolic subgroup is isomorphic to some $M(\lambda)$ of some partition $\lambda = (n-m, m)$ of n. Let $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda$ be the set of completely hook disconnected main condition sets $\mathfrak{p}$, which fit at least one row standard λ-tableaux and for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{M}_\lambda$, let $k_{\lambda,\mathfrak{p}}$ be the number of distinct $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{RStd}(\lambda)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}$ fits $\mathfrak{s}$. Then $k_{\lambda,\mathfrak{p}}$ is independent of q and

$$\mathrm{Res}_U^{GL_n(q)} M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{M}_\lambda} \bigoplus_{\substack{A\in M_n(q)\\ \mathrm{supp}(A)=\mathfrak{p}}} ([A]\epsilon_A \mathbb{C}U)^{k_{\lambda,\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

References

[1] C.A.M. André, "Basic characters of the unitriangular group", *J. Algebra*, **175**, 287-319,(1995) .

[2] R. W. Carter, "Simple groups of Lie type", John Wiley & Sons, *London, New York, Sydney, Toronto*, (1972).

[3] M. Brandt, "On unipotent Specht modules of general linear groups", *PhD Thesis, Universität Stuttgart*, (2004).

[4] M. Brandt, R. Dipper, G. James and S. Lyle, "Rank polynomials", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **(3). 98**, 1-18, (2009).

[5] P. Diaconis and I. M. Isaacs, "Supercharacters and superclasses for algebra groups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **360(5)**, 2359–2392, (2008).

[6] R. Dipper and Q. Guo, "Irreducible constituents of minimal degree in supercharacters of the finite unitriangular groups", *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **219 (7)**, 2559–2580, (2015).

[7] R. Dipper and G. James, "On Specht modules for general linear groups", *J. Algebra*, **275**, 106–142, (2004).

[8] J.A. Green, "The characters of the finite general linear groups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc."*, **80(2)**, 402–447, (1955).

[9] Q. Guo, "On the U-module structure of the unipotent Specht modules of finite general linear groups, to appear in *J. Algebra*, DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.02.015.

[10] G. James, "The representation theory of the symmetric groups", *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **308**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, (1973).

[11] G. James, "Representations of general linear groups", *LMS Lecture Notes*, 94, (1984).

[12] M. Jedlitschky, "Decomposing André-Neto supercharacters for Sylow p-subgroups of type D", *PhD. Thesis, Universität Stuttgart*, (2013).

[13] A. A. Kirillov, "Lectures on the orbit method ", *AMS*, **64**, (2004).

[14] S. Andrews, "The irreducible unipotent modules of the finite general linear groups via tableaux", arXiv:1502.06542, (2015).

[15] N. Yan, "Representations of finite unipotent linear groups by the method of Clusters", arXiv:1004.2674v1, (2010).